

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS**

BROADCAST MUSIC, INC.; SONY/ATV
SONGS LLC d/b/a SONY/ATV ACUFF
ROSE MUSIC; GALENEYE MUSIC; BREW
MUSIC COMPANY; WARNER-
TAMERLANE PUBLISHING CORP.;
ELEKSYLUM MUSIC, INC. and NO
SURRENDER MUSIC, a division of PRAXIS
INTERNATIONAL COMMUNICATIONS,
INC.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

BOONDOCKS FOOD & SPIRITS INC. d/b/a
BOONDOCKS FOOD & SPIRITS and
MARTHA BLACK, CHARLES BLACK and
BEAU BEGUIN, each individually,

Defendants.

CIVIL ACTION NO.:

COMPLAINT

Plaintiffs, by their attorneys, for their Complaint against Defendants, allege as follows (on knowledge as to Plaintiffs; otherwise on information and belief):

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

1. This is a suit for copyright infringement under the United States Copyright Act of 1976, as amended, 17 U.S.C. Sections 101 *et seq.* (the “Copyright Act”). This Court has jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. Section 1338(a).

2. Venue is proper in this judicial district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. Section 1400(a).

THE PARTIES

3. Plaintiff Broadcast Music, Inc. (“BMI”), is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware. BMI’s principal place of business is 7 World Trade Center, 250 Greenwich Street, New York, New York 10007. BMI has been granted the right to license the public performance rights in 22.4 million copyrighted musical compositions (the “BMI Repertoire”), including those which are alleged herein to have been infringed.

4. The Plaintiffs other than BMI are the owners of the copyrights in the musical compositions, which are the subject of this lawsuit. All Plaintiffs are joined pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 17(a) and 19(a).

5. Plaintiff Sony/ATV Songs LLC is a limited liability company doing business as Sony/ATV Acuff Rose Music. This Plaintiff is a copyright owner of at least one of the songs in this matter.

6. Plaintiff Galeneye Music is a sole proprietorship. This Plaintiff is a copyright owner of at least one of the songs in this matter.

7. Plaintiff Brew Music Company is a sole proprietorship. This Plaintiff is a copyright owner of at least one of the songs in this matter.

8. Plaintiff Warner-Tamerlane Publishing Corp. is a corporation. This Plaintiff is a copyright owner of at least one of the songs in this matter.

9. Plaintiff Eleksylum Music, Inc. is a corporation. This plaintiff is a copyright owner of at least one of the songs in this matter.

10. Plaintiff No Surrender Music is a division of Praxis International Communications, Inc. This Plaintiff is a copyright owner of at least one of the songs in this matter.

11. Defendant Boondocks Food & Spirits Inc. is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the state of Illinois which operates, maintains and controls an establishment known as Boondocks Food & Spirits located at 1 E Dupont Road, Seneca, IL 61360, in this district (the “Establishment”).

12. In connection with the operation of the Establishment, Defendant Boondocks Food & Spirits Inc. publicly performs musical compositions and/or causes musical compositions to be publicly performed.

13. Defendant Boondocks Food & Spirits Inc. has a direct financial interest in the Establishment.

14. Defendant Martha Black is an officer of Defendant Boondocks Food & Spirits Inc. with responsibility for the operation and management of that corporation and the Establishment.

15. Defendant Martha Black has the right and ability to supervise the activities of Defendant Boondocks Food & Spirits Inc. and a direct financial interest in that corporation and the Establishment.

16. Defendant Charles Black is an owner of Defendant Boondocks Food & Spirits Inc. with responsibility for the operation and management of that corporation and the Establishment.

17. Defendant Charles Black has the right and ability to supervise the activities of Defendant Boondocks Food & Spirits Inc. and a direct financial interest in that corporation and the Establishment.

18. Defendant Beau Beguin is an officer of Defendant Boondocks Food & Spirits Inc. with responsibility for the operation and management of that corporation and the Establishment.

19. Defendant Beau Beguin has the right and ability to supervise the activities of Defendant Boondocks Food & Spirits Inc. and a direct financial interest in that corporation and the Establishment.

CLAIMS OF COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT

20. Plaintiffs repeat and reallege each of the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 19.

21. Since November 2023, BMI has reached out to Defendants over fifty (50) times, by phone, mail, and email in an effort to educate Defendants as to their obligations under the Copyright Act with respect to the necessity of purchasing a license for the public performance of musical compositions in the BMI Repertoire. Included in the letters were Cease and Desist Notices, providing Defendants with formal notice that they must immediately cease all use of BMI-licensed music in the Establishment.

22. Plaintiffs allege three (3) claims of willful copyright infringement, based upon Defendants' unauthorized public performance of musical compositions from the BMI Repertoire. All of the claims for copyright infringement joined in this Complaint are governed by the same legal rules and involve similar facts. Joinder of these claims will promote the convenient administration of justice and will avoid a multiplicity of separate, similar actions against Defendants.

23. Attached to this Complaint as Exhibit A is a schedule (the "Schedule") identifying some of the many musical compositions whose copyrights were infringed by Defendants. The Schedule contains information on the three (3) claims of copyright infringement at issue in this action. Each numbered claim has the following eight lines of information (all references to "Lines" are lines on the Schedule): Line 1 providing the claim number; Line 2 listing the title of the musical composition related to that claim; Line 3 identifying the writer(s) of the musical composition; Line

4 identifying the publisher(s) of the musical composition and the plaintiff(s) in this action pursuing the claim at issue; Line 5 providing the date on which the copyright registration was issued for the musical composition; Line 6 indicating the copyright registration number(s) for the musical composition; Line 7 showing the date(s) of infringement; and Line 8 identifying the Establishment where the infringement occurred.

24. For each work identified on the Schedule, the person(s) named on Line 3 was the creator of that musical composition.

25. For each work identified on the Schedule, on or about the date(s) indicated on Line 5, the publisher(s) named on Line 4 (including any predecessors in interest), complied in all respects with the requirements of the Copyright Act and received from the Register of Copyrights Certificates of Registration bearing the number(s) listed on Line 6.

26. For each work identified on the Schedule, on the date(s) listed on Line 7, Plaintiff BMI was (and still is) the licensor of the public performance rights in the musical composition identified on Line 2. For each work identified on the Schedule, on the date(s) listed on Line 7, the Plaintiff(s) listed on Line 4 was (and still is) the owner of the copyright in the respective musical composition listed on Line 2.

27. For each work identified on the Schedule, on the date(s) listed on Line 7, Defendants publicly performed and/or caused to be publicly performed at the Establishment the musical composition identified on Line 2 without a license or permission to do so. Thus, Defendants have committed copyright infringement.

28. The specific acts of copyright infringement alleged in the Complaint, as well as Defendants' entire course of conduct, have caused and are causing Plaintiffs great and incalculable damage. By continuing to provide unauthorized public performances of works in the BMI

Repertoire at the Establishment, Defendants threaten to continue committing copyright infringement. Unless this Court restrains Defendants from committing further acts of copyright infringement, Plaintiffs will suffer irreparable injury for which they have no adequate remedy at law.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs pray that:

(I) Defendants, their agents, servants, employees, and all persons acting under their permission and authority, be enjoined and restrained from infringing, in any manner, the copyrighted musical compositions licensed by BMI, pursuant to 17 U.S.C. Section 502;

(II) Defendants be ordered to pay statutory damages, pursuant to 17 U.S.C. Section 504(c);

(III) Defendants be ordered to pay costs, including a reasonable attorney's fee, pursuant to 17 U.S.C. Section 505; and

(IV) Plaintiffs have such other and further relief as is just and equitable.

Dated: October 31, 2024

**HOWARD & HOWARD ATTORNEYS
PLLC**

/s/ Emily Bennett

Emily E. Bennett, Esq.
200 S. Michigan Avenue
Suite 1100
Chicago, IL 60604
Phone: 312-456-3422
Fax: 312-939-5617
ebennett@howardandhoward.com

Attorney(s) for Plaintiffs

PROOF OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that on October 31, 2024, she electronically filed the foregoing document with the Clerk of this Court using the CM/ECF system, which generated a Notification of Electronic Filing to all persons currently registered with the Court to receive such notice in the above-captioned case.

/s/ Emily Bennett

EMILY E. BENNETT (ARDC #6305461)
HOWARD & HOWARD ATTORNEYS PLLC
200 South Michigan Avenue, Suite 1100
Chicago, IL 60604
Telephone: 312-372-4000
Facsimile: 312-939-5617
ebennett@howardandhoward.com